Psychiatric‌ ‌Assessment‌ ‌of‌ ‌Children Under 18. After studying Module 2: Lecture Materials & Resources, complete the following: In a well-written discussion, address the following: One common dilemma faced by child psychologists is determining who the “client” is. Given that children are typically brought to treatment by their parents, how would you handle a child who confides in you some illicit behavior and asks you not to tell his or her parents? Submission Instructions: Your initial post should be at least 500 words, formatted, and cited in current APA style with support from at least 2 academic sources. Your initial post is worth 8 points. You should respond to at least two of your peers by extending, refuting/correcting, or adding additional nuance to their posts. Your reply posts are worth 2 points (1 point per response.) All replies must be constructive and use literature where possible. Please post your initial response by 11:59 PM ET Thursday, and comment on the posts of two classmates by 11:59 PM ET Sunday. Late work policies, expectations regarding proper citations, acceptable means of responding to peer feedback, and other expectations are at the discretion of the instructor. You can expect feedback from the instructor within 48 to 72 hours from the Sunday due date. null Grading Rubric Your assignment will be graded according to the grading rubric. Discussion Rubric Criteria Ratings Points Identification of Main Issues, Problems, and Concepts Distinguished - 4 points Post is substantively accurate. Identifies and demonstrates a sophisticated understanding of the issues, problems, and concepts surrounding the assignment. Provides exceptional and thought-provoking analysis that directly addresses details and/or examples of the main topic. Excellent - 3 points Post is mostly related to the topic. Demonstrates understanding of most of the issues, problems, and concepts surrounding the assignment. It provides some supporting details and/or examples. Analyses not as clear as they could be. Fair - 1-2 points Demonstrates limited understanding of most of the issues, problems, and concepts surrounding the assignment. No details and/or examples are given. Poor - 0 points Post is off-topic, incorrect and/or irrelevant to the issues, problems, and concepts surrounding the assignment. Analyses are not well organized or clear. 4 points APA Formatting Guidelines Distinguished - 2 points The reference page contains at least the required current scholarly academic reference and text reference. Follows APA guidelines of components: double space, 12 pt. font, abstract, level headings, hanging indent, and in-text citations. Excellent - 1 point The reference page contains one current scholarly academic resource and text reference. Follows most APA guidelines of components: double space, 12 pt. font, abstract, level headings, hanging indent, and in-text citations. Fair - 0.5 points The reference page contains one current or outdated scholarly academic resource. Many errors of APA guidelines: double space, 12 pt. font, abstract, level headings, hanging indent, and in-text citations. Poor - 0 points The reference page contains no current scholarly academic resources, only internet web pages, or no reference page. Lack of APA guidelines for references provided or in-text citations. 2 points Writing Mechanics Distinguished - 2 points Rules of grammar, usage, and punctuation are followed; spelling is correct. Excellent - 1 point Few grammatical errors, but sentences could be clearer and more precise. Fair - 0.5 points The paper contains a few grammatical, punctuation, and spelling errors. Poor - 0 points The paper contains numerous grammatical, punctuation, and spelling errors. 2 points Response to Posts of Peers Distinguished - 2 points Constructively responded to two other posts and either extended, expanded, or provided a rebuttal to each. Fair - 1 point Constructively responded to one other post and either extended, expanded, or provided a rebuttal. Poor - 0 points Provided no response to a peer's post.